

Spring Final Review **Controllable** Open-source **Water** Sensing

ENVIRONMENTAL REMOTE SENSING L LOW-COST GNSS INTERFEROMETRIC REFLECTOMETRY SYSTEM ADVISOR: PROFESSOR GHOBADI-FAR TEAM: COWS

Project Purpose and Objectives

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Project Background

Climate Change: Reduced fresh water availability, glacier melting, flooding and sea level rise

- Need for low cost remote sensing devices that can be deployed in remote locations (no internet or power) and monitor water level in reservoirs, flooding, glacier melting/snow level, and sea level rise
- Existing remote sensing devices can cost thousands of dollars (tide gauges, high-quality GNSS-IR receivers, etc)

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design Description

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Project Background

- Opportunity to develop a low-cost (less than \$800) open source remote sensing device that will monitor changes in water level or ice/snow height using the GNSS-IR technique
	- Low-cost: able to be built by individuals in low-income communities
	- Open source: no need for additional software development or software subscriptions, software is downloadable from a GitHub repository

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Mission Objective

Develop a low-cost, open source, near real-time water level monitoring system with high temporal resolution that can be deployed in remote locations across the world and be operable in varying weather conditions.

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

GNSS-IR Background

- GNSS-IR: Global Navigation Satellite System Interferometric Reflectometry - Reflected GNSS signal interferes with direct signal, showing up in SNR data

[Credit: Song et. al. (2019)]

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design Description

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

CONOPS

Overview

Key Driving Requirements

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Design Description

Functional Block Diagram

Major Design Changes from TRR

- Upgraded Battery to an 18 Ahr battery from original 10.5 Ahr for longer battery life
- Changed position of solar panel
- Changed location of communication antenna
- Updated location of component box

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Elements Evaluated Via Testing

Test Overview and Results

All Tests Performed

All Tests Performed (Component Level)

Note: The results of all tests that are not in yellow are in the backup slides.

Section 1: Project Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Critical Test I: Power

Power Test - Verifying our CDR Power Models

Completed testing of the battery life of our lead acid battery and solar panel charging, which validated the Power Models from CDR

- Timed and supervised battery discharging, compared to predicted battery discharge time from CDR power model
- Test performed the 6 days leading up to Symposium, April 12th-18th

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Power Test: Requirements

Section 1: Project Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Power Test - Test Setup

Note: In the above discharge curves, C is capacity

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Power Model - Equations and Analysis

Power Consumption:

 $P = I \cdot V$ [W]

Capacity = $P \cdot \Delta t$ [Whr]

Battery Capacity = $V_{\text{Battery}} \cdot I_{\text{Battery Capacity}}$ [Whr]

Battery Charging:

Discharged Battery Capacity = (Battery Capacity) \cdot DOD [Whr]

Note: DOD (Depth of discharge) is the percent that the battery has been depleted relative to the overall battery capacity.

Energy Required for Full Charge = (Discharged Battery Capacity)/(Lead Acid Efficiency) [Whr]

Solar Output = W_{Solar} (PWM Efficiency) [W]

Charge Time = (Energy Required for Full Charge)/(Adjusted Solar Output) [hr]

Battery Life:

 $Efficiency_{Buck\,Computer} = P_{out}/P_{in} = (V_{out} \cdot I_{out})/(V_{Battery} \cdot I_{Battery})$

$$
Battery\ Life = I_{Battery\ Capacity}/I_{Battery\ [hr]}
$$

Section 1: Project Overview

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Power Model - Predicted Results

- Total power consumption for three days of **126.77 Whr** Battery capacity is 216 Whr (this is with the 18Ah battery)
- Time to charge batteries from 50% depth of discharge of **3.38 peak sun hours**
- **2.296 days** (max current draw) to **4.34 days** (min current draw) battery life
	- Sufficient battery capacity for 3 days without power generation

Power Testing Results

Overview

ection 1: Project state of the Section 2: Design state of the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program state of the Section 2: Design state of the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program state of the Section 1: Prog Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Differences Between Power Modelling and Testing Results, Uncertainty in Data

Charge time

- Optimal panel efficiency
- Consistent solar radiation
- We were unable to discharge the battery enough to rigorously test the charge time after battery life test
	- Boulder reservoir test has survived overcast days, but this is not a thorough validation
- Will test for final report

Battery life

- Max load at all times assumption
- Gross overestimation of power needs of Pi Pico and communications module
	- Communications module was not active at all times and has a lower power standby mode

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Power Testing - Validation of Project Mission **Objectives**

- Verified the ability of our system to operate in remote environments off of its own power
	- Greater than 3 day battery life (in case storm moves in and blocks out sun for solar power generation)
	- Less than 3 hours solar charge time for 50% depth of discharge (ensures fast charging of battery in minimal amount of time, ensuring system operability in varying weather conditions)

Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

ection 1: Project states of the Section 2: Design states and the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program states and 25 Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Critical Test II: Two Week Deployment

Two Week Deployment Test - What is being Tested and Why

Day in The Life Test:

- a) Verify that the Remote Sensing Device (RSD) **works for a two week period at a remote location without any failures** between the RSD and the ground control station (GCS)
- b) Verify functionality of calculating water level with an **accuracy of better than 5 cm**
- c) Verify **mean time between failure of greater than 1 year** by verifying system operability for a two week test period
- d) Verify **functionality of mechanical subsystem** (i.e. pole and housing remain attached to ground)

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Two Week Deployment Test - Requirements

Two Week Deployment Test - Requirements (Continued)

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design Description

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Two Week Deployment Test - Requirements (Continued)

Note: We are in agreement with our faculty advisor that the 2 week deployment test is sufficient to verify our MTBF of 1 year requirements. This test will also verify applicable component requirements (not listed).

Section 1: Project Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Management

Two Week Deployment Test - Test Setup

Description

Sensors

Overview

Placement/ Calibration Plans

Two Week Deployment Test - Test Setup

Sensors

Placement/ Calibration Plans

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Management

- Truth data for Boulder Reservoir water height is from Northern Water's website, which is reported to 1/100th of a foot
- Data collected from Apr 14-17 is plotted vs truth data, excluding outliers > 40cm
- Daily Average taken to account for inaccuracy from real-time GNSS-IR implementation
	- Meets < 5cm accuracy during 1 day period requirement

Overview

Section 1: Project Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 1: Project Section 4: Program Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Commands Page

Overview

Section 2: Design Description

Section 1: Project Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 1: Project Section 4: Program Section 3: Test Overview and Results

- **System remained attached to ground over** entire two week period
	- **80-90 mph wind** storm over two days (April 6th and 7th) occurred during the two week deployment period
- **All components remained functional during several rain storms** and over entire two week period (no water got into the electrical box)
- **Power system remained operational during** the entire two week period
	- Over several site visits over the two week deployment period, the battery light on our charge controller remained green (above 50% battery)
	- Verified that the solar panel was able to charge the battery sufficiently charged above 50% battery

Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

ection 1: Project states of the Section 2: Design states of the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program states of \sim 36 Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 1: Project Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

2-Week Deployment: Issues

Memory allocation errors on the Pi

- Pico
- System stopped working after 6 hours
- Unable to handle data-processing

Resolved by:

- Altering how data was stored/accessed
- Downsampling GNSS data from 15s to 30s to reduce the number of data points collected

MemoryError: memory allocation failed, allocating 4096 bytes Traceback (most recent call last): File "mainClass.py", line 405, in closeout_curve File "Reflection_Height.py", line 27, in reflection_height File "Reflection_Height.py", line 115, in flat_snr File "umatrix.py", line 11, in zeros File "umatrix.py", line 8, in fill File "umatrix.py", line 26, in init File "umatrix.py", line 26, in <listcomp> MemoryError: memory allocation failed, allocating 4096 bytes Traceback (most recent call last): File "mainClass.py", line 318, in gnss_handler File "mainClass.py", line 505, in write_gnss MemoryError: memory allocation failed, allocating 232 bytes

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

2-Week Deployment: Issues

Encountered several **outlier data points**

- With real-time processing, some datasets will be better than others
- Leads to occasional outliers in reflector height data points

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Resolved by:

- Better filtering of elevation angles
- Utilizing stricter minimum/maximum reflector height masking
- Filtering the number of data points after masks
- Averaging over 1 day period

Section 4: Program Management

2-Week Deployment: Issues

Data Information

Date: April 3rd, 2024 Location: Boulder Reservoir Satellite: GPS True Height: 2 m reflector height

For our quality control, we decided to use data that contain more than 35 points, which increases the overall accuracy of height estimation.

Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

ection 1: Project section 2: Design state of the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program state of the Section 2: Design state of the Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program state of the Section 2: Design state of t Section 3: Test Overview and Results

2-Week Deployment - Mission Achievement

Verified the functionality of our system for a long period in a remote location, meeting the following requirements:

- Sufficient power to operate the system more than 3 days
- Near-real time transmission of both data and commands in 30-60 mins
- Durability of our mechanical subsystem under severe weather conditions
- Better than 5cm accuracy in measuring variation of water level
- Test extension approved by Boulder Reservoir, will continue until Friday May 3rd

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 2: Design **Description**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Section 4: Program Management

Program Management and Systems Engineering

Main Challenges and Successes

- **Challenges:**

- Software development with limited RAM on a Pi Pico
- 2) Managing a \$800 budget for our system
- 3) Software development delayed some tests
- **Successes:** Created a reliable remote sensing device that:
	- 1) Receives and stores GPS NMEA data in real time
	- ²) Processes data into water level using on-node processing on a Pi Pico
Microcontroller with limited RAM and store water level data on a micro SD card
	- 3) Transmits water level data to a user interface over Iridium communications satellites to be displayed on a screen to a user within 2 hours latency
	- 4) Receives and responds to system configuration, data processing, and system reboot commands sent remotely by a user through the ground station over Iridium communication satellites
	- 5) Operates in varying weather conditions (i.e. rain storms and severe wind)
	- 6) Has a low-cost of close to \$800 with COTS components (our system cost \$850.49)
	- 7) Uses open source software (Micropython)

CDR Estimated Budget **Actual Budget** Actual Budget

Total Budget Remaining:

-Build of TWO systems was largest cost (\$850.49/ea)

Section 1: Project **Overview**

Section 3: Test Overview and Results

ॱऽ

Section 4: Program Management

Budget

Planned Schedule vs. Actual

3 Major Deviations: Innards Attach (waiting for parts to ship), Software crunch (real-time implementation of GNSS-IR), Boulder Res testing delay by 2 weeks

Overview

Section 2: Design **Description**

ection 1: Project section 2: Design Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Secti Section 3: Test Overview and Results

Industrial Cost

456 hours x 9 people = **4,104 Total hours worked** \$36.06 per hour x 4,104 = **\$147,990 Wages Material cost = \$3,965**

Industry cost = (\$147,990 x 1.7) + (\$3,965) = **\$255,548**

ection 1: Project states of the Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Section 2: Design Section 3: Test Overview Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Section 4: Program Section 4 Section 3: Test Overview and Results Section 2: Design **Description**

Overview

Thank you! Questions?

Backup Slides

Component Data Sheets

GNSS-IR: reflector height estimation from SNR data w.r.t. sin(e)

Frequency analysis $2\pi f = \frac{d\psi(t)}{dw(t)} = \frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} \frac{dt}{dw(t)}$ $\frac{d\psi(t)}{dt} = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} [\dot{h} \cdot \sin(e(t)) + h \cdot \cos(e(t)) \cdot \dot{e}(t)]$
 $\frac{dt}{dw(t)} = \frac{1}{\cos(e(t)) \cdot \dot{e}(t)}$ $2\pi f = \frac{4\pi}{\lambda} \left[h + \frac{\dot{h} \cdot \tan(e(t))}{\dot{e}(t)} \right] \Rightarrow \frac{\lambda}{2} f = h + \frac{\dot{h} \cdot \tan(e(t))}{\dot{e}(t)}$ $\overline{h} = h + h \frac{tan(e(t))}{\dot{e}(t)}$ \overline{h} : estimated from LSP of SNR data wr.t. sin(e)

Least squares estimation of h and \dot{h}

$$
\begin{bmatrix} \overline{h_1} \\ \overline{h_2} \\ \vdots \\ \overline{h_N} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \frac{\tan(e(t_1))}{e(t_1)} \\ 1 & \frac{\tan(e(t_2))}{e(t_2)} \\ \vdots \\ 1 & \frac{\tan(e(t_N))}{e(t_N)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} h \\ \overline{h} \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow L = Ax \Rightarrow \hat{x} = (A^T A)^{-1} (A^T L)
$$

$$
h=\overline{h}-\dot{h}\ \frac{\tan(e(t))}{\dot{e}(t)}
$$

Elevation Angle Correction Model

Since our reflection height model is dependent on elevation angle, a correction factor is necessary for the elevation angle value to enhance the accuracy of height estimation.

Tropospheric Error Equation

$$
\Delta e = 10^{-6} N_0 \frac{cos(e)}{sin(e) + 0.00175 tan(87.5^\circ - e)}
$$

where

$$
N_0 = K_1 \frac{P_d}{T_K} + K_2 \frac{P_w}{T_K} + K_3 \frac{P_w}{T_K^2}
$$

 $K_1 = 77.689$ [K/hPa] $K_2 = 71.2952$ [K/hPa] $K_3 = 375463$ [K²/hPa]

$$
P_w = P_{sat} \frac{humidity}{100}
$$

$$
P_{sat} = 6.1094 \exp(\frac{17.625T_C}{T_C + 243.04})
$$

Note: Sample rate is 15s

Note: Sample rate is 15s

Note: Sample Rate is 30s

Note: Sample Rate is 30s

Power Model - Equations

 $e_{module} =$ Module efficiency

 e_{cloudu} = Power generation efficiency in a cloudy day compared to a sunny day

 $A = Area$ of solar panel $[m^2]$

Change in power generation of a day in a sunny and a cloudy day [W]

$$
P_{sunny} = e_{module} \cdot G_{\theta} \cdot A
$$

 $P_{cloudy} = e_{cloudy} \cdot P_{sunny}$

 $\theta =$ Latitude angle relative to the equatorial plane [deg] $\alpha =$ Earth tilt angle relative to the perpendicular to the solar plane [deg] Angle of sun at sunrise relative to the equatorial plane [deg]

 $\gamma = \sin^{-1}(tan(\theta) tan(\alpha))$

Sunrise and sunset time [hr]

$$
sunrise/sunset = 12 \mp \frac{90^{\circ} + \gamma}{30^{\circ}}
$$

Power capacity of a day in a sunny and a cloudy day [Whr]

$$
PC_{sunny} = \int_{sunrise}^{sunset} P_{sunny} dt
$$

$$
PC_{cloudy} = \int_{sunrise}^{sunset} P_{cloudy} dt
$$

Power Model - Equations

Power Consumption:

 $P = I \cdot V$ [W]

Capacity = $P \cdot \Delta t$ [Whr]

Battery Capacity = $V_{Battery} \cdot I_{Battery\,Capacity}$ [Whr]

Battery Charging:

Discharged Battery Capacity = (Battery Capacity) \cdot DOD [Whr]

Note: DOD (Depth of discharge) is the percent that the battery has been depleted relative to the overall battery capacity.

Energy Required for Full Charge = (Discharged Battery Capacity)/(Lead Acid Efficiency) [Whr]

Solar Output = $W_{\text{solar}} \cdot (PWM \;Efficiency) \; [W]$

Charge Time = (Energy Required for Full Charge)/(Adjusted Solar Output) [hr]

Battery Life:

$$
Efficiency_{Buck\,Computer} = P_{out}/P_{in} = (V_{out} \cdot I_{out})/(V_{Battery} \cdot I_{Battery})
$$

Battery Life = $I_{\text{Battery Capacity}}/I_{\text{Battery}}$ [hr]

Power Generation

 $\theta =$ Latitude angle relative to the equatorial plane [deg]

 $\alpha =$ Earth tilt angle relative to the perpendicular to the solar plane [deg] Latitude angle relative to the solar plane [deg]

 $\Omega = \theta \mp \alpha$

Solar irradiance at altitude during daylight hours $\left[W/m^2\right]$

 $G_{\theta} = at^2 + bt + c$

To find the coefficients a, b, and c

Maximum solar irradiance $G_{max,\theta} = G_{max} \cos \Omega$ [W/m²] $t_i =$ Sunrise time, $t_m =$ Sun peak, $t_e =$ Sunset time

Power Model - Graphs (Location at Lat 40 deg)

Boulder at Latitude 40 deg North

Note: Our total battery capacity is 126 Whr

Power Model - Graphs (Location at Lat 60 Deg)

Anchorage at Latitude 60 deg North

Note: Our total battery capacity is 126 Whr

Power Model - Uncertainty

- Current draw from all components will vary over time
- Solar irradiance variation with altitude
- Solar panel mounting angle (we assumed worst case and flat)
- Manufacturing imperfections (currents and voltages from datasheets may vary from values on spec sheets)

Multi Constellation / Multi Band Test

We were able to collect signals from multiple constellations such as GPS and Galileo, denoted respectively as "GPGSV" and "GAGSV"

The GPS signal with ID 1 indicates the L1 band (1575.42 MHz) The GPS signal with ID 8 indicates the L5 band (1176.45 MHz) The GAL signal with ID 7 indicates the L1 band (1575.42 MHz)

Antenna Height Test

- GNSS Antenna and system set up outside on grass
- Antenna placed at a height of 2.27 meters off the ground
- System measures height of 2.23 meters, which falls within the 5 cm accuracy range

Measured Reflector height

Commands Test

1712612217: Message send success, status 0 1712612217: Reboot command recieved, spawning reboot event 1712612224: <- The epoch 1712612224: GNSS Handler, Message Sender, and 6hr Cleanup initialized

Reboot Command

Mask Angles Pre-Command Mask Angles Post-Command

- Reboot and Mask Angle commands sent from the ground station to the system
- Success determined by system response to commands (Latency ~1.5hr)

Real Time Transmission Test

- During two week deployment, health status updates were received by ground station once every hour
- Latency Average: 44:36
	- Max: 1:00:44, Min: 30:08
- Verified that the requirement of maximum 2 hours latency is met

```
Water Level Message time from Collection to Display on Ground Station
Average: [hh:mm:ss]
  00:44:40Maximum: [hh:mm:ss]
  01:00:44Minimum: [hh:mm:ss]
  00:30:08
```
Message Latency Calculation Results

Water Resistance Test

- Component box subjected to constant water flow via shower head, with each face of the box oriented towards the water source for a period
- First test had minor leakage, applied flex seal and reattempted
- Second test had no leakage

Interior of component box after second test 69

Pi Pico Temperature Test

- Pi Pico placed in cooler with dry ice to simulate low operating temperatures
- Pi Pico was able to complete computations in temperatures of -37 \subset
- Ensures Pi Pico meets temperature range operation conditions (-30 C to 50 C)

Temperature Readout from Pi Pico Dry Ice Environment

Buck Converter Test

2/9/2024: Test was performed with MPM3610 buck converter

- Verified 5V output with 12V input
- Input 12V from power supply directly into buck converter
- Verified 5V output using a multimeter

